

**DEVELOPMENT REVIEW/COMMUNITY APPEARANCE  
COMMITTEE  
REGULAR MEETING  
TUESDAY, JANUARY 5, 2016  
AT 1:00 P.M.  
SOL SIEGLER MULTI-PURPOSE ROOM  
5581 WEST OAKLAND PARK BLVD.  
LAUDERHILL, FLORIDA 33313**

*I hereby certify that this  
is a true and correct copy  
of the minutes of the  
Jan. 5, 2016 meeting of  
the Development Review  
Committee.*

Stephen Tawes  
Chairperson

**MINUTES**

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

Mr. Tawes called the meeting to order at approximately 1:00 P.M.

**II. ROLL CALL**

MEMBER	PRESENT	ABSENT
Steve Tawes, City Planner	X	
Molly Howson, Planning Analyst	X	
Shani Langrin, Zoning	X	
Insp. Hone, Fire Dept.	X	
Officer Marto , Police Department		X
Don Giancoli, Building	X	
J. Martin Cala, DEES	X*	
Joan Fletcher, GIS	X	
Elijah Wooten, Economic Development		X
Desorae Giles-Smith, Administration	X	

\*arrived 1:30 P.M.

**Also Present:**

Marilyn Ozegovich, Secretary to the Development Review Committee

**III. PROOF OF PUBLICATION:**

**MOTION by Ms. Fletcher**

**To accept and file proof of publication**

**SECOND by Insp. Hone .**

**The motion passed unanimously by voice vote.**

**IV. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES: November 17, 2015 and December 1, 2015**

**MOTION by Ms. Langrin**

**To approve the minutes of 11/17/15 and 12/1/15.**

**SECOND by Ms. Fletcher.**

**The motion passed unanimously by voice vote.**

**V. MOTION TO ACCEPT AND FILE WRITTEN COMMENTS.**

**MOTION by Ms. Howson**

**To accept and file written comments.**

**SECOND by Mr. Wooten.**

**The motion passed unanimously by voice vote.**

**VI. PUBLIC HEARING:**

**A. DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS:**

**1. 15-SP-003 Bus Transfer Facility @ Lauderhill Mall**

A site plan application filed by Broward County Transit to construct a bus transfer facility on a 1.94 ± portion of a 43.69+/- acre unplatted site in the

Community Commercial (CC) zoning district, legally described as a portion of land within Township 49 South, Range 42 East, Section 36 together with a 1.05 acre site legally described as Flair Subdivision No. 3, Block 28, Lots 1 thru 6, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 51, Page 39 of the public records of Broward County, Florida, commonly described as The Lauderhill Mall located on N SR 7 between , Lauderhill, Florida

**Mr. Tawes** read the title and confirmed that the applicant had received the comments.

**Dean Koggan** and **Glenn Harrelson** of PDS Engineering were present as were **Mr. Barney McCoy** of Broward County and **Mr. Al Cohen**, architect for and **Keith Short** manager of Lauderhill Mall.

**Mr. Harrelson** after for clarification of Mr. Wooten's comment that the design looked more modern than tropical.

**Mr. Tawes** said that the canopy solution was rather unique and he had not seen it used in this area.

**Mr. McCoy** said that it was used in South Florida at Port Miami and Ft. Lauderdale Airport. He also said that the canopies were built to meet or exceed hurricane wind load calculations. They were used at Port of Miami and Ft. Lauderdale Airport.

**Mr. Wooten** said that the buildings seemed more modern than tropical.

**Mr. Tawes** said that the canopies as well as the building seemed stark and not tropical. He said that he was not convinced that the canopy was the way to go for the City. He said that there was no landscaping plan and that they needed to show the foot print and engineering of the water storage sytem. He also said that they would need a current survey.

**Ms. Giles-Smith** asked how the canopies are kept clean.

**Mr. McCoy** said that they have a similar facility at the North-east Transit Center and they are power washed every other week.

**Mr. Tawes** sad that a proposed maintenance schedule should be part of the submittal.

**Ms. Giles-Smith** commented that the canopies at Ft. Lauderdale Airport had been removed and replaced by concrete structures.

**Mr. Tawes** said that he would like to know what the life cycle is for these canopies and how they have fared in other locations. He said that a new

consultant for design/architectural review had come on board and he cautioned the applicant about proceeding until we had received her input.

**Mr. Harrelson** asked if the survey could be approximately 25 feet around their sight.

**Ms. Fletcher** said that was okay. He wanted to be able to see the water and sewer locations.

**Mr. Tawes and Ms. Fletcher** discussed ways to channel people to where they wanted them to go and avoid having them cut through the areas where there would be bus traffic. They also discussed employee parking and required handicap spaces and ramps.

**Mr. Giancoli** discussed the connection of the Transit Facility to the Mall.

**Mr. Cala** said that the 8" line should be continued along SR7

**Mr. Cohen** said that the County should coordinate with the Mall engineer.

**Mr. Cala** also stressed that any improvements made to SR 7/signalization had to be approved by FDOT and the County.

**Mr. Giancoli** said there needed to be a fully executed lease.

**The Committee** discussed security at the facility.

**Mr. Giancoli** said that part of the view was blocked by the Administrative Building. He said that there needed to be an explanation of what views were to be provided by staff observation and what was to be provided by camera. He also discussed the trash removal process and whether or not there were enough receptacles and whether they were large enough.

**Mr. McCoy** said that cameras would provide 360 ° views of the station. He also said that the station would be open from 6:00 A.M. to Midnight.

**The Committee** discussed the possibility of installing a gate.

**Mr. Cala** asked whether there would be a mid-block pedestrian crossing and whether or not it would be on demand. This needs to be part of the submission.

**Mr. Tawes** said that information on the architectural pages needed to be shown on the site plan pages. He also commented on the need to prevent jay walking.

**Mr. Giancoli** said that landscaping was not an adequate barrier.

**Mr. Tawes** asked if there were any other questions. Hearing none he called for a motion.

**MOTION by Ms. Howson**

**To deny without prejudice 15-SP-003.**

**SECOND by Mr. Wooten .**

**The motion passed unanimously by voice vote.**

**B. COMMUNITY APPEARANCE COMMITTEE APPLICATIONS: NONE**

**VIII. UNFINISHED BUSINESS: NONE**

**IX. NEW BUSINESS: NONE**

**X. ADJOURN**

The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:00 P.M.